



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	08/836,369	
	Filing Date	10/20/1997	
	First Named Inventor	Volker Schmidt	
	Group Art Unit	2859	
	Examiner Name	Jagan, Mirellys	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	004-1

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard
Remarks		RECEIVED MAY 26 2004 TECH CENTER 2800
The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 502267.		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Charles E. Krueger Reg No. 30,077
Signature	
Date	May 18, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date: May 18, 2004	
Typed or printed name	Sharon D. Krueger
Signature	
Date	May 18, 2004

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.
SF 1244040 v1



PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 004-1

2859
41

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

SCHMIDT et al.

Application No.: 08/836,369

Filed: October 20, 1997

For: TEMPERATURE –
MEASUREMENT DEVICE WITH
DIFFRACTIVE OPTICS (As amended)

Examiner: Jagan

Art Unit: 2859

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 02/18/04, please consider the following remarks.

RECEIVED
MAY 26 2004
TECH CENTER 2800

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, and 82 have been examined and are now pending in the application. Reexamination and reconsideration of all outstanding rejections and objections are requested.

Claims 1 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,368,392 (Hollander) in view of the publication titled "Unique Applications of Computer-Generated Diffractive Optical Elements" by Mokry.

Claim 1 has previously been rejected solely over the reference Hollander. In the opinion of the Board (Opinion) it is stated that the feature of the claim in dispute, i.e., not disclosed in Hollander, is "a laser aligned to illuminate a diffractive optical system to produce a diffraction pattern in the form of light intensity distribution" for the purposes of identifying and outlining the position and size of the measurement spot on the object of measurement by means of visible light. (Opinion, page 3)

The Board further states that from its study of Hollander there is no teaching or suggestion within Hollander of utilizing a diffractive optical system to produce a diffractive pattern forming light intensity distributions. (Opinion pg. 4).